

EXPLANATION OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION ON ADEQUACY

in

Brake Rotors from China Inv. No. 731-TA-744 (Second Review)

On October 5, 2007, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)).

The Commission unanimously determined that the domestic interested party group response to the notice of institution was adequate. The Commission received an adequate response from the Coalition for the Preservation of American Brake Drum and Rotor Aftermarket Manufacturers, an unincorporated association of two domestic producers of brake rotors, Federal-Mogul, Inc. and Affinia Group, Inc., which included individually adequate responses for the two producers. Because the Commission received an adequate response from producers accounting for the vast majority of U.S. production of brake rotors, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response was adequate.

The Commission unanimously determined that the respondent interested party group response was adequate. The Commission received adequate responses from Chinese producers and exporters Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd.; Longkou Jinzheng Machinery Co., Ltd.; Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd.; Longkou TLC Machinery Co., Ltd.; Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd.; World Known Precision Industry (Fuzhou) Co., Ltd.; Shandong Huanri Group Co., Ltd.; Shanxi Zhongding Auto Parts Co., Ltd.; Laizhou Wally Automobile Co., Ltd.; Laizhou City Luqi Machinery Co., Ltd.; Yantai Winhere Auto-Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Gren (Group) Co., and from U.S. importers CWD, LLC dba Centric Parts; Gren Automotive, Inc.; and Ziway, Inc.¹ Because the Commission received an adequate response from producers and exporters accounting for the majority of the total volume of exports of subject merchandise to the United States in 2006, as well as from importers accounting for some volume of U.S. imports of subject merchandise, the Commission determined that the Chinese respondent interested party group response was adequate. Accordingly, the Commission determined to proceed to a full review.

A record of the Commissioners' votes is available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site, <http://www.usitc.gov>.

¹The Commission also received responses from Best Brakes, IAP West Inc., and Qualis Automotive LLC, which are purchasers and/or consignees of subject merchandise imported by others and, therefore, are not "interested parties" as defined by 19 U.S.C. § 1677(9).