

**STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONERS CAROL T. CRAWFORD AND STEPHEN KOPLAN
STAINLESS STEEL PLATE FROM SWEDEN
INV. NO. AA1921-114 (Review)
November 5, 1998**

We have determined that aggregate respondent and domestic interested party responses to the Commission's Notice of Institution in Stainless Steel Plate from Sweden; Inv. No. AA1921-114(Review) are adequate. Therefore this case should proceed to a full Sunset review. However, they have raised some concerns.

Counsel to five domestic interested parties submitted one aggregated response. Such an aggregated response by counsel was not necessarily contemplated by the Commission in its Notice of Final Rules ("NOFR") for five-year reviews. Nonetheless, such an aggregated response can provide a measure of efficiency for interested parties and can be equivalent to individually adequate responses, so long as the Commission is able to identify individual company data, and assess whether individual interested parties have a sufficient willingness to participate in the Commission review process and whether there is adequate indication that they will submit information requested throughout the proceeding.

However, in this review, counsel for the five companies also included data for two additional domestic producers that are not their clients. They did not state the source of the data for the two companies, so we do not know if the companies were even the direct source of the data. The two companies did not respond to the Commission directly and did not provide any information in addition to the partial data that was included in the aggregated response on behalf of the five other companies. And the record gives us no indication of any willingness to participate in the proceeding. Therefore, the two producers for which partial data were reported in the aggregate clearly failed to meet the Commission's requirement for individual adequacy.

Nevertheless, on the basis of the adequate interested party responses received in this review, we find that aggregate responses of both domestic and respondent interested parties are adequate, and that we should proceed to a full review.